1 2	KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP April E. Isaacson (SBN 180638) aisaacson@kilpatricktownsend.com		
3	Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 1900 San Francisco CA 94111		
4	(415) 273 8306		
5	Sarah Y. Kamran (SBN 347617)   skamran@kilpatricktownsend.com   1801 Century Park East, Suite 2300		
6	Los Angeles CA 90067 (310) 777 3733		
7	Mitchell G. Stockwell (admitted pro hac v	vice)	
8	mstockwell@kilpatricktownsend.com   Vaibhav P. Kadaba (admitted pro hac vice)		
9	wkadaba@kilpatricktownsend.com Michael J. Turton (admitted pro hac vice)		
10	mturton@kilpatricktownsend.com Courtney S. Dabbiere (admitted pro hac vice)		
11	cdabbiere@kilpatricktownsend.com Christopher S. Leah (admitted pro hac vic	ce)	
12	cleah@kilpatricktownsend.com 1100 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 2800		
13	Atlanta GA 30309 (404) 815 6500		
14	Attornana for Defendants		
15   16	Attorneys for Defendants   Cox Communications, Inc.; CoxCom, LLC; and   Cox Communications California, LLC		
17	con communections carryonna, 22 c		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20			
21	ENTROPIC COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,	Case No. 2:23-cv-01049-JWH-KES	
22	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE COX'S NOTICE OF AMENDED	
23	V.	COUNTERCLAIMS AND OPPOSITION TO MAXLINEAR'S	
24		MOTION TO DISMISS	
25	COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC.; COXCOM, LLC; and COX COMMUNICATIONS CALIFORNIA,	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
26	LLC,		
27	Defendants.		
28			

[PROPOSED] ORDER RE COX DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS AND OPPOSITION TO MAXLINEAR'S MOTION TO DISMISS CASE NO. 2:23-CV-01049-JWH-KES

## Case 2:23-cv-01049-JWH-KES Document 187-1 Filed 01/09/24 Page 2 of 3 Page ID #:6918

COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC., COXCOM, LLC, AND COX COMMUNICATIONS CALIFORNIA, LLC, Counter-Claimants, v. ENTROPIC COMMUNICATIONS, LLC; MAXLINEAR COMMUNICATIONS LLC; AND MAXLINEAR, INC. Counter-Defendants. 

[PROPOSED] ORDER RE COX DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS AND OPPOSITION TO MAXLINEAR'S MOTION TO DISMISS CASE NO. 2:23-CV-01049-JWH-KES

## Case 2:23-cv-01049-JWH-KES Document 187-1 Filed 01/09/24 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #:6919

1	This matter comes before the Court on Counter-Defendants MaxLinear		
2	Communications LLC and MaxLinear Inc.'s ("MaxLinear") Motion to Dismiss Cox's		
3	Counterclaims under Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 12(b)(6). Cox has elected to moot this motion		
4	by filing amended counterclaims that further address the issues MaxLinear raises as		
5	well as facts uncovered via further discovery. The Court, having considered all papers		
6	filed and arguments of counsel, finds that there is a good cause for denying this motion		
7	as moot;		
8	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that MaxLinear's Motion is Denied		
9	as Moot.		
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
11	DATED:, 2024		
12			
13	Hon. John W. Holcomb UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			